arXiv:0901.0025v1 [hep-th] 30 Dec 2008

Electric S-brane solutions corresponding to rank-2 Lie algebras: acceleration and small variation of G

V.D. Ivashchuk[1, a, b], **S.A. Kononogov**[2, a]
and V.N. Melnikov[3, a, b]

a Center for Gravitation and Fundamental Metrology,
VNIIMS, 46 Ozyornaya St., Moscow 119361, Russia
b Institute of Gravitation and Cosmology, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, 6 Miklukho-Maklaya St.,
Moscow 117198, Russia

Abstract

Electric S-brane solutions with two non-composite electric branes and a set of l scalar fields are considered. The intersection rules for branes correspond to Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2. The solutions contain five factor spaces. One of them, M_0, is interpreted as our 3-dimensional space. It is shown that there exists a time interval where accelerated expansion of our 3-dimensional space is compatible with a small enough variation of the effective gravitational constant $G(\tau)$. This interval contains τ_0, a point of minimum of the function $G(\tau)$. A special solution with two phantom scalar fields is analyzed and it is shown that in the vicinity of the point τ_0 the time variation of $G(\tau)$ (calculated in the linear approximation) decreases in the sequence of Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2.

---

[1] ivashchuk@mail.ru
[2] kononogov@vniims.ru
[3] melnikov@phys.msu.ru

1 Introduction

Multidimensional cosmological models with diverse matter sources are at present widely used for describing possible time variations of fundamental physical constants, e.g. gravitational constant G, see [1]-[16] and references therein.

It has been shown in [10] that, in the pure gravitational model with two non-zero curvatures, there exists an interval of synchronous variable τ where accelerated expansion of "our" 3-dimensional space co-exists with a small enough value of $\dot{G}$. This result was compared with our exact (1+3+6)-dimensional solution [17] obtained earlier.

Recently, in [16], we suggested a similar mechanism for a model with two form fields and several scalar fields (e.g., phantom ones). The main problem here was to find an interval of the synchronous time τ where the scale factor of our 3-dimensional space exhibits an accelerated expansion according to the observational data [18, 19] while the relative variation of the effective 4-dimensional gravitational constant is small enough as compared with the Hubble parameter, see [20, 21, 11] and references therein. As it was shown in [16] such interval did exist, it contained τ_0 – the point of minimum of the function $G(\tau)$. The analysis carried out in [16] was based on an exact S-brane solution with the intersection rules for branes corresponding to the Lie algebra A_2.

In this paper, we extend the results of our previous work to exact S-brane solutions with the intersection rules corresponding to other simple rank 2 Lie algebras: C_2 and G_2. Thus, here we generalize the results of ref. [16] to the sequence of Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the setup for the model is done and exact S-brane solutions are presented. In Section 3, solutions with acceleration and small G-dot are singled out. In Section 4, a special configuration with two phantom field is considered. Here we compare the G-dot calculated (in the linear approximation near the point of minimum of $G(\tau)$) for these three algebras and show that the variation of G decreases in the sequence of Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2. In the Appendix, we give a derivation of relation (3.17) for an approximate value of the dimensionless parameter of relative G variation.

2 The model

We consider S-brane solutions describing two electric branes and a set of l scalar fields.

The model is governed by the action

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} \Big\{ R[g] - h_{\alpha\beta} g^{MN} \partial_M \varphi^\alpha \partial_N \varphi^\beta - \sum_{a=1,2} \frac{1}{N_a!} \exp[2\lambda_a(\varphi)](F^a)^2 \Big\}, \qquad (2.1)$$

where $g = g_{MN}(x) dx^M \otimes dx^N$ is a D-dimensional metric of the pseudo-Euclidean signature $(-,+,\dots,+)$, $F^a = dA^a$ is a form of rank N_a, $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ is a non-degenerate symmetric matrix, $\varphi = (\varphi^\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^l$ is a vector of l scalar fields, $\lambda_a(\varphi) = \lambda_{a\alpha}\varphi^\alpha$ is a linear function, with $a = 1,2$ and $\alpha, \beta = 1,\dots,l$ and $|g| = |\det(g_{MN})|$.

We consider the manifold

$$M = (0,+\infty) \times M_0 \times M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 \times M_4. \qquad (2.2)$$

where M_i are oriented Riemannian Ricci-flat spaces of dimensions d_i, $i = 0,\dots,4$, and $d_1 = 1$.

Let two electric branes be defined by the sets $I_1 = \{1,2,3\}$ and $I_2 = \{1,2,4\}$. They intersect on $M_1 \times M_2$. The first brane also covers M_3 while the second one covers M_4. The first brane corresponds to the form F^1 and the second one to the form F^2.

For the world-volume dimensions of branes we get

$$d(I_s) = N_s - 1 = 1 + d_2 + d_{2+s}, \qquad (2.3)$$

$s = 1,2$, and

$$d(I_1 \cap I_2) = 1 + d_2 \qquad (2.4)$$

is the brane intersection dimension.

We consider an S-brane solution governed by the function

$$\hat{H} = 1 + P t^2, \qquad (2.5)$$

where t is a time variable and

$$P = \frac{1}{4n_s} K_s Q_s^2 \qquad (2.6)$$

is a parameter. Here, Q_s are charge density parameters,

$$K_s = d(I_s)(1 + \frac{d(I_s)}{2-D}) + \lambda_{s\alpha}\lambda_{s\beta}h^{\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.7}$$

$s = 1, 2$, $(h^{\alpha\beta}) = (h_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$, and

$$(n_1, n_2) = (2, 2), (3, 4), (6, 10) \tag{2.8}$$

for the Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2, respectively. The parameters K_s and Q_s are supposed to be nonzero.

The intersection rules read:

$$d(I_1 \cap I_2) = \frac{d(I_1)d(I_2)}{D-2} - \lambda_{1\alpha}\lambda_{2\beta}h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}K_2. \tag{2.9}$$

These relations corresponds to the simple Lie algebras of rank 2 [22, 23].

Recall that $K_s = (U^s, U^s)$, $s = 1, 2$, where the "electric" U^s-vectors and the scalar products were defined in [24, 25, 22]. Relations (2.9) follow just from the formula

$$(A_{ss'}) = (2(U^s, U^{s'})/(U^{s'}, U^{s'})), \tag{2.10}$$

where $(A_{ss'})$ is the Cartan matrix for Lie algebra of rank 2 with $A_{12} = -1$, $A_{21} = -k$, where here and in what follows

$$k = 1, 2, 3, \tag{2.11}$$

for the Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2, respectively. We remind the reader that (see [25])

$$(U^1, U^2) = d(I_1 \cap I_2) - \frac{d(I_1)d(I_2)}{D-2} + \lambda_{1\alpha}\lambda_{2\beta}h^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{2.12}$$

Due to (2.10) and relations $A_{12} = -1$, $A_{21} = -k$, we get

$$K_2 = K_1 k. \tag{2.13}$$

We consider the following exact solutions (containing three subcases corresponding to the Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2)

$$g = \hat{H}^{2A}\Big\{-dt \otimes dt + g^0 + \hat{H}^{-2(B_1+B_2)}(t^2 g^1 + g^2) + \hat{H}^{-2B_1}g^3 + \hat{H}^{-2B_2}g^4\Big\}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\exp(\varphi^\alpha) = \hat{H}^{B_1\lambda_1^\alpha + B_2\lambda_2^\alpha}, \tag{2.15}$$

$$F^1 = -Q_1\hat{H}^{-2n_1+n_2} t dt \wedge \tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \wedge \tau_3, \tag{2.16}$$

$$F^2 = -Q_2\hat{H}^{-2n_2+kn_1} t dt \wedge \tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \wedge \tau_4, \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$A = \sum_{s=1,2} \frac{n_s K_s^{-1} d(I_s)}{D-2}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$B_s = n_s K_s^{-1}, \tag{2.19}$$

$s = 1, 2$. Here τ_i denotes a volume form on M_i ($g_1 = dx \otimes dx$, $\tau_1 = dx$).

These solutions are special case of more general solutions from [26] corresponding to the Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2. They can also be obtained as a special 1-block case of S-brane solutions from [28]. The A_2-case ($k = 1$) was considered in [16].

We also note that the charge density parameters Q_s obey the following relation (see (2.6) and (2.13))

$$\frac{Q_1^2}{Q_2^2} = \frac{n_1}{n_2} k = 1, \ \frac{3}{2}, \ \frac{9}{5} \tag{2.20}$$

for the Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2, respectively.

3 Solutions with acceleration and small $\dot{G}$

Let us introduce the synchronous time variable $\tau = \tau(t)$ by the relation:

$$\tau = \int_0^t d\bar{t} [\hat{H}(\bar{t})]^A \tag{3.1}$$

We put $P < 0$, and hence due to (2.6) all $K_s < 0$ which implies $A < 0$. Consider two intervals of the parameter A:

$$(\mathbf{i}) \quad A < -1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$(\mathbf{ii}) \quad -1 < A < 0. \tag{3.3}$$

In case (i), the function $\tau = \tau(t)$ monotonically increases from 0 to $+\infty$, for $t \in (0, t_1)$, where $t_1 = |P|^{-1/2}$, while in case (*ii*) it is monotonically increases from 0 to a finite value $\tau_1 = \tau(t_1)$.

Let the space M_0 be our 3-dimensional space with the scale factor

$$a_0 = \hat{H}^A. \tag{3.4}$$

For the first branch (i), we get the asymptotic relation

$$a_0 \sim \tau^{\nu}, \tag{3.5}$$

for $\tau \longrightarrow +\infty$, where

$$\nu = A/(A+1) \tag{3.6}$$

and, due to (3.2), $\nu > 1$. For the second branch (ii) we obtain

$$a_0 \sim \mathrm{const}(\tau_1 - \tau)^{\nu}, \tag{3.7}$$

for $\tau \longrightarrow \tau_1 - 0$, where $\nu < 0$ due to (3.3), see (3.6).

Thus, we get an asymptotic accelerated expansion of the 3-dimensional factor space M_0 in both cases (i) and (ii), and $a_0 \to +\infty$.

Moreover, it may be readily verified that the accelerated expansion takes place for all $\tau > 0$, i.e.,

$$\dot{a}_0 > 0, \qquad \ddot{a}_0 > 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Here and in what follows we denote $\dot{f} = df/d\tau$.

Indeed, using the relation $d\tau/dt = \hat{H}^A$ (see (3.1)), we get

$$\dot{a}_0 = \frac{dt}{d\tau}\frac{da_0}{dt} = \frac{2|A||P|t}{\hat{H}}, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\ddot{a}_0 = \frac{dt}{d\tau}\frac{d}{dt}\frac{da_0}{d\tau} = \frac{2|A||P}{\hat{H}^{2+A}}(1 + |P|t^2), \tag{3.10}$$

which certainly implies the inequalities in (3.8).

Let us consider a variation of the effective constant G. In Jordan's frame the 4-dimensional gravitational "constant" is

$$G = \mathrm{const} \prod_{i=1}^{4} (a_i^{-d_i}) = \hat{H}^{2A} t^{-1}, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$a_1 = \hat{H}^{A-B_1-B_2} t, \quad a_2 = \hat{H}^{A-B_1-B_2}, \quad a_3 = \hat{H}^{A-B_1}, \quad a_4 = \hat{H}^{A-B_2} \tag{3.12}$$

are the scale factors of the "internal" spaces $M_1, \ldots, M_4$, respectively.

The dimensionless variation of G reads

$$\delta = \dot{G}/(GH) = 2 + \frac{1 - |P|t^2}{2A|P|t^2}, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$H = \frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0} \tag{3.14}$$

is the Hubble parameter of our space. It follows from (3.13) that the function $G(\tau)$ has a minimum at the point τ_0 corresponding to t_0, where

$$t_0^2 = \frac{|P|^{-1}}{1 + 4|A|}. \tag{3.15}$$

At this point, $\dot{G}$ is zero.

The function $G(\tau)$ monotonically decreases from $+\infty$ to $G_0 = G(\tau_0)$ for $\tau \in (0, \tau_0)$ and monotonically increases from G_0 to $+\infty$ for $\tau \in (\tau_0, \bar{\tau}_1)$. Here $\bar{\tau}_1 = +\infty$ for the case (i) and $\bar{\tau}_1 = \tau_1$ for the case (ii).

We consider only solutions with accelerated expansion of our space and small enough variations of the gravitational constant obeying the experimental constraint [20, 21]

$$|\delta| < 0.1. \tag{3.16}$$

Here, as in the model with two curvatures [10], τ is restricted to a certain range containing τ_0. It follows from (3.13) that in the asymptotical regions (3.5) and (3.7) $\delta \to 2$, which is unacceptable due to the experimental bounds (3.16). This restriction is satisfied for a range containing the point τ_0 where $\delta = 0$.

Calculating $\dot{G}$, in the linear approximation near τ_0, we get the following approximate relation for the dimensionless parameter of relative G variation:

$$\delta \approx (8 + 2|A|^{-1}) H_0 (\tau - \tau_0), \tag{3.17}$$

where $H_0 = H(\tau_0)$ (compare with an analogous relation in [10]). This relation gives approximate bounds for values of the time variable τ allowed by the restriction on $\dot{G}$. A derivation of this is given in Appendix.

The solutions under consideration with $P < 0$, $d_1 = 1$ and $d_0 = 3$ take place when the configuration of branes, the matrix $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ and the dilatonic coupling vectors λ_a, obey the relations (2.7) and (2.9) with $K_s < 0$. This is possible when $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ is not positive-definite, otherwise all $K_s > 0$. Thus, there should be at least one scalar field with negative kinetic term (i.e., a phantom scalar field).

4 Example: a model with two phantom fields

Let us consider the following example: $l = 2$, $(h_{\alpha\beta}) = -(\delta_{\alpha\beta})$, i.e. there are two phantom scalar fields. Due to (2.3), $d(I_1) = N_1 - 1 = 1 + d_2 + d_3$ and $d(I_2) = N_2 - 1 = 1 + d_2 + d_4$.

Then the relations (2.7) and (2.9) read

$$\vec{\lambda}_a^2 = (N_a - 1)(1 + \frac{N_a - 1}{2 - D}) - K_a > 0, \tag{4.1}$$

$a = 1, 2$, and

$$\vec{\lambda}_1 \vec{\lambda}_2 = 1 + d_2 - \frac{(N_1 - 1)(N_2 - 1)}{D - 2} + \frac{1}{2} K_2, \tag{4.2}$$

where $K_1 < 0$ and $K_2 = K_1 k$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ for Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2, respectively. Here we have used the relation for brane intersection: $d(I_1 \cap I_2) = 1 + d_2$.

The relations (4.1) and (4.2) for are compatible for small enough $K_1 \in (-\infty, K_0)$, $K_0 \leq 0$, since it may be verified that they imply (for $K_1 \leq K_0$)

$$\frac{\vec{\lambda}_1 \vec{\lambda}_2}{|\vec{\lambda}_1||\vec{\lambda}_2|} \in (-1, +1) \tag{4.3}$$

i.e., the vectors $\vec{\lambda}_1$ and $\vec{\lambda}_2$, belonging to the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^2$, and obeying the relations (4.1) and (4.2), do exist. The left-hand side of (4.3) gives $\cos\theta$, where θ is the angle between these two vectors. For the special case $k = 1$, $N_1 = N_2$, $d_3 = d_4$ considered in [16] $K_0 = 0$.

Now we compare the A parameters corresponding to different Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2, when the parameter K_1 and factor space dimensions d_2, d_3, d_4 are fixed. We get from the definition (2.18)

$$A = A_{(k)} = \frac{1}{K_1(D - 2)}(n_1 d(I_1) + k^{-1} n_2 d(I_2)), \tag{4.4}$$

or, more explicitly, (see (2.8))

$$A_{(1)} = \frac{1}{K_1(D - 2)}(2d(I_1) + 2d(I_2)), \tag{4.5}$$

$$A_{(2)} = \frac{1}{K_1(D - 2)}(3d(I_1) + 2d(I_2)), \tag{4.6}$$

$$A_{(3)} = \frac{1}{K_1(D - 2)}(6d(I_1) + \frac{10}{3} d(I_2)), \tag{4.7}$$

for Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2, respectively. Here $K_1 < K_0 \leq 0$.

Hence,

$$|A_{(1)}| < |A_{(2)}| < |A_{(3)}|. \tag{4.8}$$

Due to relation (3.17) for dimensionless parameter of relative variation of G calculating in the leading approximation when $(\tau - \tau_0)$ is small, we get for approximate values of δ: $\delta^{ap}_{(1)} > \delta^{ap}_{(2)} > \delta^{ap}_{(3)}$ that means that the variation of G (calculated near τ_0) decreases in a sequence of Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2, but the allowed interval $\triangle\tau = \tau - \tau_0$ (obeying $|\delta| < 0.1$) increases in a sequence of Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2. This effect could be strengthen (even drastically) when $|K_1|$ becomes larger. We note that for $|K_1| \to +\infty$ we get a strong coupling limit $\vec{\lambda}_a^2 \to +\infty$, $a = 1, 2$.

5 Conclusions

We have considered S-brane solutions with two non-composite intersecting electric branes and a set of l scalar fields. The solutions contain five factor spaces, and the first one, M_0, is interpreted as our 3-dimensional space. The intersection rules for branes correspond to the Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2.

Here, as in the model with two nonzero curvatures [10], we have found that there exists a time interval where accelerated expansion of our 3-dimensional space is compatible with a small enough value of $\dot{G}/G$ obeying the experimental bounds. This interval contains a point of minimum of the function $G(\tau)$ denoted as τ_0.

We have analyzed special solutions with two phantom scalar fields. We have shown that in the vicinity of the point τ_0 the time variation of $G(\tau)$ (calculated in the linear approximation) decreases in the sequence of Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2. Thus, this treatment justifies the consideration of different non-simply laced Lie algebras (such as C_2 and G_2) that usually do not appear for stringy-inspired solutions [22].

Appendix

Here we give a derivation of the relation (3.17) for an approximate value of the dimensionless parameter of relative variation of G.

We start with the relation (3.13) written in the following form

$$\delta = \dot{G}/(GH) = \frac{t^2 - t_0^2}{|AP|t_0^3}, \tag{A.1}$$

where $H = \dot{a}_0/a_0$ is the Hubble parameter and t_0 is defined in (3.15). (We recall that here and in what follows $\dot{f} = df/d\tau$.) In the vicinity of the point t_0 we get in linear approximation

$$\delta \approx \frac{\triangle t}{|AP|t_0^3}. \tag{A.2}$$

Using the synchronous time variable $\tau = \tau(t)$ we get

$$\delta \approx \left(\frac{dt}{d\tau}\right)_0 \frac{\triangle \tau}{|AP|t_0^3} = \frac{\triangle \tau}{\hat{H}_0^A |AP| t_0^3} \tag{A.3}$$

$(d\tau/dt = \hat{H}^A)$. Here the subscript "0" refers to t_0. For the Hubble parameter we get from (3.4) and (3.9)

$$H_0 = \left(\frac{\dot{a}_0}{a_0}\right)_0 = \frac{2|A||P|t_0}{\hat{H}_0^{A+1}}. \tag{A.4}$$

Then, it follows from (A.3) and (A.4) that

$$\delta \approx \frac{\hat{H}_0}{2A^2P^2t_0^4} H_0 \triangle \tau. \tag{A.5}$$

Since (see (2.5) and (3.15))

$$\hat{H}_0 = 1 - \frac{1}{1+4|A|} = \frac{4|A|}{1+4|A|} \tag{A.6}$$

the pre-factor in (A.5) reads (see (3.15)):

$$\Pi = \frac{\hat{H}_0}{2A^2P^2t_0^4} = 8 + 2|A|^{-1} \tag{A.7}$$

and we are led to the relation

$$\delta \approx \Pi H_0 (\tau - \tau_0), \tag{A.8}$$

coinciding with (3.17).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant Nr. $07-02-13624-ofi_{ts}$.

References

[1] V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional Classical and Quantum Cosmology and Gravitation. Exact Solutions and Variations of Constants. CBPF-NF-051/93, Rio de Janeiro, 1993;
V.N. Melnikov, in: "Cosmology and Gravitation", ed. M. Novello, Editions Frontieres, Singapore, 1994, p. 147.

[2] V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional Cosmology and Gravitation, CBPF-MO-002/95, Rio de Janeiro, 1995, 210 p.;
V.N. Melnikov. In: "Cosmology and Gravitation. II", ed. M. Novello, Editions Frontieres, Singapore, 1996, p. 465.

[3] V.N. Melnikov, Exact Solutions in Multidimensional Gravity and Cosmology III. CBPF-MO-03/02, Rio de Janeiro, 2002, 297 pp.

[4] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Nuovo Cim.* **B 102**, 131 (1988).

[5] K.A. Bronnikov, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Nuovo Cim.* **B 102**, 209 (1988).

[6] V.N. Melnikov, Gravity as a Key Problem of the Millennium. Proc. 2000 NASA/JPL Conference on Fundamental Physics in Microgravity, NASA Document D-21522, 2001, p. 4.1-4.17, Solvang, CA, USA.

[7] V.N. Melnikov, Gravity and cosmology as key problems of the millennium. In: "Albert Einstein Century Int. Conf.", eds. J.-M. Alimi and A. Fuzfa, AIP Conf. Proc. Melville-NY, 2006, v. 861, pp. 109-126.

[8] V.N. Melnikov, Variations of constants as a test of gravity, cosmology and unified models, *Grav. Cosmol.* **13**, No. 2 (50), 81-100 (2007).

[9] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Problems of G and multidimensional models. In: Proc. JGRG11, Eds. J. Koga et al., Waseda Univ., Tokyo, 2002, pp. 405-409.

[10] H. Dehnen, V.D. Ivashchuk, S.A. Kononogov and V.N. Melnikov, On time variation of G in multidimensional models with two curvatures, *Grav. Cosmol.* **11**, 340 (2005).

[11] V. Baukh and A. Zhuk, *Sp*-brane accelerating cosmologies, *Phys. Rev* **D 73**, 104016 (2006).

[12] A.I. Zhuk, Conventional cosmology from multidimensional models, hep-th/0609126.

[13] J.-M. Alimi, V.D. Ivashchuk, S.A. Kononogov and V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional cosmology with anisotropic fluid: acceleration and variation of G, *Grav. Cosmol.* **12**, 173-178 (2006); gr-qc/0611015.

[14] V.D. Ivashchuk, S.A. Kononogov, V.N. Melnikov and M. Novello, Non-singular solutions in multidimensional cosmology with perfect fluid: acceleration and variation of G, *Grav. Cosmol.* **12**, 273-278 (2006); hep-th/0610167.

[15] S. B. Fadeev and V. D. Ivashchuk, 5-dimensional solution with acceleration and small variation of G, In: Proc. of the Russian summer school-seminar Modern theoretical problems of gravitation and cosmology, September 9-16, 2007, TSHPU, Kazan-Yalchik, Russia, 4pp.; arXiv:0706.3988.

[16] J.-M. Alimi, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, An S-brane solution with acceleration and small enough variation of G, *Grav. Cosmol.* **13**, No. 2 (50), 137-141 (2007); arXiv:0711.3770.

[17] V.R. Gavrilov, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional Integrable Vacuum Cosmology with Two Curvatures, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **13**, No 11, 3039-3056 (1996).

[18] A.G. Riess *et al*, *AJ* **116**, 1009 (1998).

[19] S. Perlmutter *et al*, *ApJ* **517**, 565 (1999).

[20] R. Hellings, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 1609 (1983).

[21] J.O. Dickey *et al*, *Science* **265**, 482 (1994).

[22] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Multidimensional classical and quantum cosmology with intersecting p-branes, *J. Math. Phys.* **39**, 2866-2889 (1998); hep-th/9708157.

[23] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Exact solutions in multidimensional gravity with antisymmetric forms, topical review, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18**, R82-R157 (2001); hep-th/0110274.

[24] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Intersecting p-brane solutions in multidimensional gravity and M-theory, *Grav. Cosmol.* **2**, 297-305 (1996); hep-th/9612089.

[25] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Sigma-model for the generalized composite p-branes, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14** 3001-3029 (1997); Erratum: ibid., **15**, 3941-4942 (1998); hep-th/9705036.

[26] I.S. Goncharenko, V. D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, Fluxbrane and S-brane solutions with polynomials related to rank-2 Lie algebras, *Grav. Cosmol.* **13**, No. 4 (52), 262-266 (2007); math-ph/0612079.

[27] V.D. Ivashchuk, Composite fluxbranes with general intersections, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19**, 3033-3048 (2002); hep-th/0202022.

[28] V.D. Ivashchuk, Composite S-brane solutions related to Toda-type systems, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **20**, 261-276 (2003); hep-th/0208101.